

FILED ENTERED
LODGED RECEIVED

JUL 24 2018 DJ

AT SEATTLE
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
BY DEPUTY

HON. Richard A. Jones

HON. James P. Donohue

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

KYLE LYDELL CANTY,

Plaintiff,

VS

CITY OF SEATTLE, et al

Defendants.

Case NO. 2:16-cv-01655-RAJ-JPD

Declarations of King County

Superior Court of Washington

With Exhibits Attached
"Knowledge Is Power"

Certification of Oath

I Kyle Lydell Canty does certify and stand by these declarations of King County Superior Court of Washington. The Declarations of King County Superior Court of Washington shall be used against both King County and The U.S. District Court Western District of Washington At Seattle since the U.S. District Court Western District of Washington at Seattle wants to continue to not follow Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the U.S. Constitution!

Kyle Lydell Canty
77 S. Washington St.
Seattle WA, 98104

Statement of Issues

Should the defendant King County, be allowed to commit fraud by not bringing a defendant to trial within sixty days better known as speedy rights? Should The U.S. District Court Western District of Washington continue to be in collusion with the King County Superior Court System of The State of Washington by not Protecting Moorish Aborigines of this land?

Statement of Facts

1. According to King County Prosecutor Aubony G. Burns own signature on official King County Superior Courts own documents the plaintiff Kyle Lydell Canty has never signed any continuances. (See attached exhibits)
2. On the official documents, the prosecutor is signing in the criminal lawyer's signature space, in order to violate defendant's speedy rights. (See attached exhibits)
3. The plaintiff Kyle Lydell Canty was the defendant and the attorney representing himself on the criminal case in question that gave rise to this constitutional rights violation civil case right here.
4. King County Superior Courts cheats to get all of their convictions if they cannot get you to plead guilty (We Can put money on this statement) do we have any challengers?
5. The Plaintiff Kyle Lydell Canty only Recognizes the United States Constitution, Federal Treaties, We do not recognize state codes, ordinances, or especially RCW's they are in fact not even real, but hey the secret is out of the bag.

Kyle Lydell Canty
77 S. Washington St.
Seattle WA, 98104

Conclusion

"We are the Aboriginal North American Citizens" that the United States of corruption is afraid of, and you know what, you should be lol lmao. There is no conspiracy theories over here there are just facts to back up everything that the plaintiff Kyle Lydell Canty has claimed in his amended complaint, that was served upon the defendants via the U. S. Marshal Service at the direction of the Very Dishonorable James P. Donohue. Here is a little advice for all the opposition listening ... Next time do not tell on yourselves when you participate in constitutional rights violations and major corruption, this is complete suicide and we are trained to make examples out of you fools in your own court of law.

Wow, we have not heard from that piece of shit attorney

Greggory "Creep" Jackson

You are quite as church mouse, Greggory lol lmao

Stop crying asking the judge to dismiss this civil case

As we say in New York.... You Are Pussy without the hair!

You are African American and we are Moorish

We look the same but we are clearly different

You went to law school and we did not,

However, we are superior legally and knowledge wise

That stuff that they teach you idiots in law school is not even real

Thus, this is why you are having a hard time with this case

Look at you scared like a little bitch lol lmao

Prepared by:
KL 07/24/2018
Kyle Lydell Canty

Kyle Lydell Canty
77 S. Washington St.
Seattle WA, 98104

MR. COUNTY never agreed
to a continuance!
official misconduct

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Plaintiff,

Kyle County

Defendant.

CCN

NO. 16-1-03103-b SEA

ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL

(ORCTD)

(Clerk's Action Required)

This matter came before the court for consideration of a motion for continuance brought by ☒ plaintiff ☐ defendant ☐ the court. It is hereby

ORDERED that the trial, currently set for Sep 20, 2016 is continued to Sep 28, 2016 ☐ upon agreement of the parties [CrR 3.3(f)(1)] or ☐ required in the administration of justice [CrR 3.3(f)(2)] for the following reason:

☐ plaintiff's counsel in trial; ☐ defense counsel in trial; ☒ other: Material witness/victim absent out of country Sep 12-26, witness return out Sep 26-28 and Oct 3-Jan 2017

It is further ORDERED:

☐ Omnibus hearing date is _____

☒ Expiration date is Oct 25, 2016

DONE IN OPEN COURT this 7 day of September, 2016

[Signature]
JUDGE

Approved for entry:

[Signature] 46544
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney WSBA No.

over his objection
Attorney for Defendant WSBA No.

I agree to the continuance:

* Defendant (signature required only for agreed continuance)

I am fluent in the _____ language, and I have translated this entire document for the defendant from English into that language. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

Interpreter King County, Washington

Trial Continuance

(Effective 1 September 2003)

9/7/16 2:30pm

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF KING

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

Kyle Cusky

Defendant.

NO. 16-1-03103-6 SEA

ORDER denying defendant's
motions pursuant to 21 and
motion for PC hearing w/
 (ORCM) present, NTA
☒ Clerk's Action Required

☐ Plaintiff ☒ Defendant moves the Court for an order for a motion
with the court within present and motions pursuant to
Rules 2.1, 2.2 (a), 3.2.1, ; now, therefore, it
 is hereby

ORDERED Defendant's motions are
complaint state complied with proper charging
procedures and Rules 2.1(x3), 2.2 (a)
and 3.2.1, and PC was found in a hearing (ex parte)
that comported with Washington laws. Next motion hearing
 DONE IN OPEN COURT this 1 day of Sept, 2016. to be heard
Wednesday
Sept 27th
at 2:30pm

JUDGE

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

WSBA#

46544

Attorney for the Defendant

WSBA#